



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/020,718 | 12/14/2001 | Philip J. Kellman | 42055/SAH/K415 | 9540 |
| 23363 | 7590 | 02/13/2004 | EXAMINER | |
| CHRISTIE, PARKER & HALE, LLP 350 WEST COLORADO BOULEVARD SUITE 500 PASADENA, CA 91105 | | | HARRIS, CHANDA L | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 3714 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 02/13/2004 | |

13

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/020,718

Applicant(s)

KELLMAN, PHILIP J.

Examiner

Chanda L. Harris

Art Unit

3714

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Chanda L. Harris. (3) _____.

(2) Art Hasan. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 12 February 2004.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1-31 and 63-72.

Identification of prior art discussed: Ditto (US 6,270,352).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Attorney discussed difference between Ditto and the claimed invention. Attorney explained that the difference is in Applicant's claimed sequencing algorithm. Examiner will consider the distinction upon receiving a response to the last office action. Proposed response and amendment is attached.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Chanda L. Harris
Examiner's signature, if required